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**Abstract**

Abstract must be written in English, using 13 size Garamond fonts, single-spaced. Insert an abstract of 100-250 words, giving a brief account of the most relevant aspects of the paper. The abstract of research paper should contain the purposes, methodology, and findings of the study. Abstract must be written in English, using 13 size Garamond fonts, single-spaced. Insert an abstract of 100-250 words, giving a brief account of the most relevant aspects of the paper. The abstract of research paper should contain the purposes, methodology, and findings of the study. Abstract must be written in English, using 13 size Garamond fonts, single-spaced. Insert an abstract of 100-250 words, giving a brief account of the most relevant aspects of the paper. The abstract of research paper should contain the purposes, methodology, and findings of the study.

**Keywords**: first keyword, second keyword, third keyword, fourth keyword, fifth keywords

**A. Introduction**

Here, provide an adequate background to to interest, issues, phenomena, and novelty. The purpose of the study showed at the end of the introduction. The section headings are arranged by Alphabet, bold and 13 pt Garamond, single spacing. Paragraphs shall be single-spaced with no indent.

**B. Literature Review**

Provide an adequate review of literature. The section headings are arranged by Alphabet, bold and 13 pt Garamond, single spacing. Paragraphs shall be single-spaced with no indent. The update of reference libraries, especially those used to justify originality or novelty (in the Introduction), should be in the last 10 years. Besides that, 80 percent of the references should come from journals.

**C. Research Methodology**

The Research Methodology section describes in detail how the study was conducted. A complete description of the methods used enables the reader to evaluate the appropriateness of the research methodology.

**1. Participants / Subject / Population and Sample**

State the Participants/Subject/Population and Sample used in the study.

**2. Instruments**

Describe what, how, to whom the instruments used in the study.

**3. Data Analysis**

Describe how the data was analyzed in the study.

**D. Result**

In the Findings section, summarize the collected data and the analysis performed on those data relevant to the issue that is to follow. The Findings should be clear and concise. It should be written objectively and factually, and without expressing personal opinion. It includes numbers, tables, and figures (e.g., charts and graphs). Number tables and figures consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text.

Table: 1 An example of a table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| An example of a heading | Column A (t) | Column B (T) |
| Add an entry | 1 | 2 |
| Add an entry | 1 | 2 |
| Add an entry | 1 | 3 |

**E. Discussion**

This section should explore the significance of the results of the study. A combined Findings and Discussion section is also appropriate. This section allows you to offer your interpretation and explain the meaning of your results. Emphasize any theoretical or practical consequences of the results.

The Discussion section should be a reasoned and justifiable commentary on the importance of your findings. This section states why the problem is important; what larger issues and what propositions are confirmed or disconfirmed by the extrapolation of these findings to such overarching issues.

**F. Conclusion**

The main conclusions of the study should be presented in a short Conclusions section. Do not repeat earlier sections.

**G. Acknowledgement (optional)**
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